## **Print this report**

# Texas Education Agency 2015-16 Federal Report Card for Texas Public Schools

Campus Name: MEADOW WOOD EL Campus ID: 101920108 District Name: SPRING BRANCH ISD

## Part I: Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results include all students tested, whether or not they were in the accountability subset.

										Two or	,					
				African			Americar	1	Pacific			Econ				
	Stat	e Distri	ct Campus	American	Hispani	c White	Indian	Asian					ELL	Female	Male	Migrant
STAAR Percent A	At or Above	e Level I	II Satisfact	tory Standa	ard (201	6) or Ph	ase-in 1 l	_evel II	(2015)							
Grade 3																
Reading	2016 72%	68%	79%	50%	75%	90%	-	*	*	*	*	61%	80%	82%	77%	-
J	2015 74%	6 70%	86%	85%	82%	92%	-	*	-	71%	*	74%	92%	85%	87%	-
Mathematics	2016 74%	65%	69%	36%	57%	85%	-	*	*	*	*	47%	56%	73%	67%	-
	2015 74%	66%	78%	62%	75%	92%	-	*	-	*	*	61%	75%	75%	81%	-
Grade 4																
Reading	2016 74%	68%	83%	53%	80%	95%	_	*	_	86%	*	69%	*	80%	85%	-
3	2015 71%		83%	*	74%	92%	-	*	-	*	*	67%	67%	86%	80%	-
Mathematics	2016 72%	68%	74%	53%	70%	89%	_	*	_	*	*	56%	*	73%	74%	-
	2015 71%	67%	69%	*	53%	82%	-	*	-	*	*	52%	*	68%	71%	-
Writing	2016 68%	66% ·	73%	64%	64%	89%	_	*	_	*	*	50%	*	75%	71%	_
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2015 67%			*	74%	84%	-	*	-	*	*	52%	*	76%	68%	-
Grade 5																
Reading	2016 80%	6 74%	88%	63%	79%	98%	-	*	-	*	*	81%	60%	90%	86%	-
-	2015 83%	% 77%	93%	75%	83%	100%	-	*	-	*	*	82%	75%	95%	90%	-
Mathematics	2016 85%	6 81%	94%	88%	90%	98%	-	*	-	*	71%	93%	70%	93%	95%	-
	2015 75%	6 71%	90%	88%	71%	100%	-	*	-	*	*	78%	63%	90%	90%	-
Science	2016 73%	6 70%	80%	*	76%	86%	_	*	-	*	*	66%	50%	88%	72%	-
	2015 69%	66%	85%	63%	71%	95%	-	*	-	*	*	65%	*	82%	88%	-
Grade 6																
Mathematics	2016 71%	68%	*	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-
All Grades																
All Subjects	2016 74%	6 70%	80%	55%	74%	91%	-	90%	*	76%	48%	66%	57%	82%	78%	-
·	2015 73%	% 70%	82%	61%	73%	92%	-	100%	-	74%	43%	66%	64%	82%	83%	-
Reading	2016 72%	68%	83%	54%	78%	94%	-	88%	*	93%	47%	71%	68%	84%	82%	-
	2015 74%	6 70%	87%	75%	80%	95%	-	100%	-	80%	44%	74%	79%	89%	86%	-
Mathematics	2016 75%	6 71%	79%	54%	72%	91%	-	88%	*	71%	47%	66%	59%	80%	78%	-
	2015 73%	6 70%	79%	61%	68%	91%	-	100%	-	67%	44%	63%	59%	78%	81%	-
Writing	2016 68%	63%	73%	64%	64%	89%	-	*	-	*	*	50%	*	75%	71%	-
-	2015 68%			*	74%	84%	-	*	-	*	*	52%	*	76%	68%	-
Science	2016 77%	6 <b>7</b> 7%	80%	*	76%	86%	_	*	_	*	*	66%	50%	88%	72%	_
	2015 75%			63%	71%	95%	-	*	-	*	*	65%	*	82%	88%	-

Accommodations

Accommodations

% STAAR/EOC With

2016 13% 22%

2016 73% 62%

24%

59%

### STAAR Percent at Final Level II or Above

All Grades					_,														
All Subjects	2016		43%	46		16%	33%	63%			80%	*	39%	20%	24%	11%	50%	43%	-
	2015	38%	40%	50	%	15%	36%	67%	-	(	62%	-	47%	20%	25%	17%	51%	50%	-
Reading	2016	42%	42%	51	%	22%	38%	68%	_	8	88%	*	43%	18%	29%	16%	57%	46%	_
	2015		41%	56		21%	37%	74%			88%	-	53%	19%	32%	21%	57%	55%	-
Mathematics	2016	400/	400/	44	0/	110/	31%	620/	_		63%	*	43%	29%	24%	6%	120/	44%	
Mathematics	2015		40% 37%	44 49		11% 14%	35%	62% 66%			50%	_	40%	29% 19%	24%	24%	43% 48%	49%	-
	2013	JU 70	31 /0	73	70	1470	JJ /0	00 70	_	`	JU 76		40 /0	1370	22 /0	24 /0	40 /0	4370	
Writing	2016	39%	40%	41	%	21%	29%	54%	-		*	-	*	*	19%	*	48%	33%	-
	2015	31%	34%	38	%	*	26%	50%	-		*	-	*	*	15%	*	54%	21%	-
Caianaa	2016	110/	48%	44	0/	*	31%	620/			*		*	*	20%	20%	49%	40%	
Science	2016		48% 45%	50		13%	31% 42%	62% 62%			*	-	*	*	26%	20% *	49% 41%	40% 59%	-
	2010	10 / 0	1070	00	70	1070	7270	0270							2070		4170	0070	
STAAR Percent	at Level	III A	dvance	ed															
All Grades																			
All Subjects	2016	17%	20%	24	%	5%	18%	34%	_	4	40%	*	11%	7%	10%	4%	28%	20%	-
	2015	14%	17%	23	%	4%	11%	34%	-	4	48%	-	18%	11%	8%	7%	26%	21%	-
Daadiaa	0040	4.007	400/	00	07	00/	000/	440/		,	050/	*	040/	400/	4.007	400/	000/	000/	
Reading	2016 2015		19% 17%	29 34		8% 11%	23% 19%	41% 47%			25% 63%	_	21% 27%	12% 13%	16% 16%	10% 17%	36% 40%	23% 28%	-
	2015	13%	17 70	34	/0	1 1 70	1970	41 70	-	,	03%	-	2170	1370	10%	1770	40%	20%	-
Mathematics	2016	17%	19%	22	%	3%	16%	31%	_	į	50%	*	7%	6%	8%	0%	26%	18%	-
	2015	14%	15%	18	%	0%	7%	28%	-	;	38%	-	13%	13%	5%	0%	20%	16%	-
Writing	2016 2015		17%	17		7% *	11% 5%	29% 8%			*	-	*	*	3% 0%	*	23% 16%	12% 0%	-
	2015	070	10%	89	<b>/</b> 0		5%	0%	-			-			U%		10%	0%	-
Science	2016	15%	20%	19	%	*	14%	26%			*	-	*	*	5%	0%	17%	21%	-
	2015	14%	19%	21	%	0%	4%	36%	-		*	-	*	*	4%	*	13%	29%	-
STAAR Participa	ation (Al	l Gra	des)																
A.II. —			0.4.0	2221	000/		4000/	2221	2001		1000/		4000/	4000/	000/	4000/	200/	2001	
All Tests				99% 99%	99% 99%	99% 100%	100% 100%	99%	99% 100%	-	100% 100%	•	100% 100%	100% 100%	98%	100% 100%			-
			015	9970	9970	100 %	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-
Reading		2	016	99%	99%	99%	100%	99%	99%	-	100%	*	100%	100%	98%	100%	99%	99%	-
_		2	015	99%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-
		_							/						/		/		
Mathematics				100%	100%	99%	100%	99%	99%	-	100%	*	100%	100%	98%	100% 100%		99%	-
		2	015	99%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-
Writing		2	016	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	*	-	100%	*	100%	100%	100%	100%	-
_		2	015	99%	99%	99%	100%	100%	97%	-	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%	-
		_																	
Science				99%	99%	99%	100%	100%		-	*	-	*	100%	98%	100%		100%	
		2	015	99%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-
STAAR Participa	tion Re	sults	by As	sessm	ent Ty	pe for S	tudents	Serve	ed in Spe	cial	Educati	on S	ettings	(All Gra	des)				
Reading Tests																			
% of Participant	S		2016	98%	96%	100%	*	*	100%	-	-	-	*	100%	100%	*	100%	100%	-
% STAAR/EO		Ю																	
Accommodations			2016	120/	220/	240/	*	*	200/				*	2/10/	250/	*	200/	250/	

38%

38%

24%

59%

25%

75%

20% 25%

80% 50%

% STAAR Alternate2	2016	11%	13%	18%	*	*	25%	-	-	-	*	18%	0%	*	0%	25%	-
% of Non-Participants	2016	2%	4%	0%	*	*	0%	-	-	-	*	0%	0%	*	0%	0%	-
Mathematics Tests																	
% of Participants	2016	99%	99%	100%	*	*	100%	-	-	-	*	100%	100%	*	100%	100%	-
% STAAR/EOC With No																	
Accommodations	2016	12%	18%	24%	*	*	38%	-	-	-	*	24%	25%	*	20%	25%	-
% STAAR/EOC With																	
Accommodations	2016	75%	68%	59%	*	*	38%	-	-	-	*	59%	75%	*	80%	50%	-
% STAAR Alternate2	2016	12%	14%	18%	*	*	25%	-	-	-	*	18%	0%	*	0%	25%	-
% of Non-Participants	2016	1%	1%	0%	*	*	0%	-	-	-	*	0%	0%	*	0%	0%	-

<sup>&#</sup>x27;\*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

## Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs)

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results only include tested students who were in the accountability subset. This section also includes four-year and five-year graduation rates and participation rates on STAAR for reading and mathematics.

															Percent of
								Two or			ELL				Eligible
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Specia	I (Current &	ELL	Total	Total	
	Student	sAmerican	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian					Monitored			Eligible	
Performance Status - State			•											-	
State Target	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%				
Reading	Υ	N	Υ	Υ					Υ		Υ		5	6	83
Mathematics	Υ	N	Υ	Υ					Υ		Υ		5	6	83
Writing	Υ		Υ	Υ					Ν				3	4	75
Science	Υ		Υ	Υ					Υ				4	4	100
Social Studies													0	0	
Total													17	20	85
Performance Status - Feder	ral														
Federal Target	87%	87%	87%	87%					87%	87%	87%				
Reading	N	Ν	Ν	Υ	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Ν		N	n/a			
Mathematics	N	Ν	Ν	Υ	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Ν		N	n/a			
Participation Status															
Target	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%		95%			
Reading	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ					Υ			Υ	6	6	100
Mathematics	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ					Υ			Υ	6	6	100
Total													12	12	100
Federal Graduation Status	(Target: S	ee Reason	Codes)												
Graduation Target Met													0	0	
Reason Code ***															
Total													0	0	

#### **District: Met Federal Limits on Alternative Assessments**

Reading
Alternate 1% n/a
Number Proficient n/a
Total Federal Cap Limit n/a
Mathematics
Alternate 1% n/a
Number Proficient n/a

<sup>&#</sup>x27;-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.

Total Federal Cap Limit

Total Federal Cap Lim

Overall Total 29 32 91

n/a

a = Graduation Rate Goal of 90%

c = Safe Harbor Target of a 10% decrease in difference from the prior year rate and the Goal

b = Four-year Graduation Rate Target of 88%

d = Five-year Graduation Rate Target of 90%

Blank cells above represent student group indicators that do not meet the minimum size criteria.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

								Two or			ELL	
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	(Current &	ELL
		American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	
Performance Rates			•								,	,
Reading												
# at Level II Satisfactory	211	19	64	107	-	**	*	13	78	7	37	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	252	33	82	114	-	**	*	14	110	15	47	30
% at Level II Satisfactory	84%	58%	78%	94%	-	88%	*	93%	71%	47%	79%	n/a
Standard												
Mathematics												
# at Level II Satisfactory	200	19	60	103	-	**	*	10	74	7	34	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	252	33	82	114	-	**	*	14	110	15	47	30
% at Level II Satisfactory	79%	58%	73%	90%	-	88%	*	71%	67%	47%	72%	n/a
Standard												
Writing												
# at Level II Satisfactory	59	9	17	28	-	*	-	*	17	*	10	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	80	12	27	32	-	*	-	*	33	*	15	*
% at Level II Satisfactory	74%	75%	63%	88%	-	*	-	*	52%	*	67%	n/a
Standard												
Science												
# at Level II Satisfactory	64	*	20	35	-	*	-	*	24	*	9	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	79	*	26	41	-	*	-	*	36	*	15	10
% at Level II Satisfactory	81%	*	77%	85%	-	*	-	*	67%	*	60%	n/a
Standard												
Social Studies												
# at Level II Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
% at Level II Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Standard												
Participation Rates												
Reading: 2015-2016 Assessi												
Number Participating	268	37	87	121	-	**	*	14	119	17	n/a	32
Total Students	270	37	88	122	-	**	*	14	121	17	n/a	32
Participation Rate	99%	100%	99%	99%	-	100%	*	100%	98%	100%	n/a	100%
Mathematics: 2015-2016 Ass		0.7	0.7	404		**	*		440	4-	,	20
Number Participating	268	37	87	121	-	**	*	14	119	17	n/a	32
Total Students	270	37	88	122	-			14	121	17	n/a	32
Participation Rate	99%	100%	99%	99%	-	100%	*	100%	98%	100%	n/a	100%

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

				Two or				
All	African	American	Pacific	More	Econ	Special	ELL	ELL

<sup>+</sup> Participation uses ELL (Current), Graduation uses ELL (Ever HS)

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Federal Graduation Rate Reason Codes:

<sup>\*\*</sup> When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).

Indicates there are no students in the group.

	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	(Ever HS)	(Current)
Federal Graduation Rates												
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Gra	duation Rate	(Gr 9-12):	Class of 20	15								
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Gra	duation Rate	(Gr 9-12):	Class of 20	14								
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
5-year Extended Graduation Ra	ate (Gr 9-12):	Class of 2	014									
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a

District: Met Federal Limits on Alternative Assessments

Reading

Number Proficient n/a
Total Federal Cap Limit n/a

Mathematics
Number Proficient n/a
Total Federal Cap Limit n/a

- \* Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- \*\* When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).
- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

Source: 2016 Accountability System Safeguards Report

#### Part III: Priority and Focus Schools

**Priority schools** are the lowest 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading, mathematics and graduation rates. Priority schools include Tier I or Tier II TTIPS schools, campuses with graduation rates less than 60%, and lowest achieving campuses based on All Students reading/math performance. **Focus schools** are 10% of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the widest gaps between student group performance and safeguard targets. Campuses are ranked based on the largest gaps between student group reading/math performance and the annual measurable objectives (AMO) target of 83%. Campuses were originally staged as priority and focus based on data from the 2013 Accountability Reports.Priority and focus schools having improved in performance and are no longer identified as improvement required for the August 2015 and 2016 ratingswill include a "Progress" label. All schools that do not meet that criteria will remain identified as priority or focus.

Priority School Identification: Priority School Reason: N/A
No Focus School Reason: N/A

Focus School Identification: No

A high-performance reward school is identified as a Title I school with distinctions based on reading and math performance. In addition, at the high school level, a reward school is a Title I school with the highest graduation rates. A high progress school is identified as a Title I school in the top 25% in annual improvement; and/or a school in the top 25% of those demonstrating ability to close performance gaps based on system safeguards. The reward school identifications provided are for the 2015-2016 school year. Identifications for the 2016-2017 school year are pending.

High Performing School: No High Progress School: No

Source: TEA Division of School Improvement and Support

Part IV: Teacher Quality Data

#### Part IV A: Percent of Teachers by Highest Degree Held

Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary school teachers in the State of Texas. The distribution of degrees attained by teachers are shown as the percent of total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and doctorate degrees.

	Campus			
	Number	Percent	District	State
			Percent	Percent
No Degree	0.0	0.0%	0.2%	1.0%
Bachelors	25.6	84.4%	73.9%	74.7%
Masters	4.7	15.6%	24.4%	23.6%
Doctorate	0.0	0.0%	1.4%	0.6%

## Part IV B and C: Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Highly Qualified (HQ) Teachers Low Poverty/ High Poverty Summary Reports

The percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, and the percentage of classes in the state not taught by highly qualified teachers disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools. For this purpose, high-poverty means schools in the top quartile of poverty and low-poverty means the bottom quartile of poverty in the state.

#### Low Poverty

#### **Core Academic Subject Areas**

		General	Special	Total
		Education	Education	
Total Number of Teachers		25	3	28
Total Number of Classes		25	3	28
Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	25	3	28
	Percent	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	0	0	0
	Percent	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

#### Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

	Number of	Teachers
	Elem (PK-6)	 secondary (7-12)
Emergency (for certified personnel)	0	0
Emergency (for uncertified personnel)	0	0
Non-renewable	0	0
Temporary Classroom Assignment	0	0
District Teaching	0	0
Temporary	0	0

Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

	Numbe	r of Teachers
	General Education	Special Education
Highly Qualified	0	0
Not Highly Qualified	0	0

Source: TEA Division of Federal and State Education Policy

#### Part V: Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE)

This section provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in the school year (fall or spring semester) following high school graduation. The rate reflects the percent of total graduates during the 2012-13 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2013-14 academic year.

#### Report Not Required

Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

#### Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results

The most recent NAEP results for Texas are provided showing statewide reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

#### State Level: 2015 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels

			%	% At or Above	% At or Above	% At or Above
Grade	Subject	Student Group	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Grade 4	Reading	Overall	36	64	31	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	13	87	66	30
		Black	49	51	17	2
		Hispanic	44	56	22	3
		White	18	82	50	13
		Students with Disabilities	71	29	11	2
		English Language Learners	59	41	12	2
		National School Lunch Program	46	54	20	3
	Mathematics	Overall	14	86	44	8
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	3	97	82	36
		Black	24	76	29	2
		Hispanic	16	84	37	4
		White	7	93	60	15
		Students with Disabilities	41	59	18	2
		English Language Learners	23	77	28	2
		National School Lunch Program	19	81	30	2
Grade 8	Reading	Overall	28	72	28	2
	•	American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	12	88	55	12
		Black	38	62	19	2
		Hispanic	35	65	19	1
		White	14	86	43	4
		Students with Disabilities	70	30	5	n/a
		English Language Learners	71	29	2	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	36	64	18	1
	Mathematics	Overall	25	75	32	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	5	95	67	25
		Black	43	57	16	2
		Hispanic	31	69	23	4

White	12	88	48	12
Students with Disabilities	62	38	8	1
English Language Learners	60	40	6	n/a
National School Lunch Program	34	66	20	3

## State Level: 2015 Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students

<b>Grade</b> Grade 4	<b>Subject</b> Reading	Student Group Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	<b>%</b> 72 92
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	80 95
Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 95
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 90

Source: TEA Division of Student Assessment